

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/722,618		SHEEHAN, DARREN S.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Kishor Mayekar		1753		

All Participants:

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(2) John Carlson.

Date of Interview: 3 July 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 12 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

to insert the limitation "directly" as argued by Applicant in the remarks filed 1 May 2006 before the phrase "on the outer surface" and to correct minor informalities in the independent claims 1, 7, 12 and 17 by an examiner's amendment

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)